

TASK TASK

by Cathy Y. Sligh

The use of genetically modified organisms by the food industry has become a controversial issue. Students will read various texts about the pros and cons of genetically modified organisms. They will develop and support an argument about whether GMOs will benefit or harm society. Completing this module will reinforce previously studied concepts, such as DNA, protein synthesis and basic genetics concepts.

GRADES

7 - 12

DISCIPLINE

COURSE

PACING

△ Science

📑 Biology

Section 1: What Task?

Teaching Task

Task Template 2 - Argumentation

Do the benefits of genetically modified organisms used by the food industry outweigh the possible safety concerns? After reading informational texts, write an editorial for "The New York Times Learning Blog" in which you address the question and argue whether genetically modified organisms are valuable or detrimental to society. Support your position with evidence from the text(s). Be sure to acknowledge competing views. Give at least one example/s from past or current events to illustrate and clarify your position.

Standards

Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts & Literacy in History/Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects

RST.9-10.1

Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts, attending to the precise details of explanations or descriptions.

RST.9-10.2

Determine the central ideas or conclusions of a text; trace the text's explanation or depiction of a complex process, phenomenon, or concept; provide an accurate summary of the text.

RST.9-10.4

Determine the meaning of symbols, key terms, and other domain-specific words and phrases as they are used in a specific scientific or technical context relevant to grades 9—10 texts and topics.

RST.9-10.10

By the end of grade 10, read and comprehend science/technical texts in the grades 9—10 text complexity band independently and proficiently.

WHST.9-10.1

Write arguments focused on discipline-specific content.

WHST.9-10.4

Produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience.

WHST.9-10.5

Develop and strengthen writing as needed by planning, revising, editing, rewriting, or trying a new approach, focusing on addressing what is most significant for a specific purpose and audience.

RST.9-10.8

Assess the extent to which the reasoning and evidence in a text support the author's claim or a



Focus

Focus

Focus

Focus

recommendation for solving a scientific or technical problem.

WHST.9-10.9

Draw evidence from informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.

WHST.9-10.10

Write routinely over extended time frames (time for reflection and revision) and shorter time frames (a single sitting or a day or two) for a range of discipline-specific tasks, purposes, and audiences.

Georgia Science Performance Standards

SCSh1

Students will evaluate the importance of curiosity, honesty, openness, and skepticism in science.

SCSh6.b

Write clear, coherent accounts of current scientific issues, including possible alternative interpretations of the data.

SCSh6.c

Use data as evidence to support scientific arguments and claims in written or oral presentations.

SCSh6.d

Participate in group discussions of scientific investigation and current scientific issues.

SB2.b

Explain the role of DNA in storing and transmitting cellular information.

SB2.f

Examine the use of DNA technology in forensics, medicine, and agriculture.

SB4.d

Assess and explain human activities that influence and modify the environment such as global warming, population growth, pesticide use, and water and power consumption.

SB5.d

Relate natural selection to changes in organisms.

Next Generation Science Standards

HS-LS3-2

Make and defend a claim based on evidence that inheritable genetic variations may result from: (1) new genetic combinations through meiosis, (2) viable errors occurring during replication, and/or (3) mutations caused by environmental factors.

Texts

% Straight Talk on Genetically Engineered Foods - Center for Science in the Public Interest

Focus

Focus

- The Truth About GMOs.docx
- Pros and Cons of GM Foods.docx
- Truth about GM Food-SCI AM.docx
- Salmon Will Be the 1st GM
- Monarch Butterfly.docx
- Impact on Human Health of GMO in Foods
- No-Should Genetically Modified Foods Be Labeled.docx
- Yes-Should Genetically Modified Foods Be Labeled.docx

Student Work Rubric - Argumentation Task - Grades 9-12

	Emerging	Approaches Expectations	Meets Expectations	Advanced
	1	2	3	4
Controlling Idea	Makes a general claim with an unclear focus.	Establishes a clear claim that addresses the prompt , with an uneven focus .	Establishes and maintains a clear, specific, and credible claim that addresses all aspects of the prompt.	Establishes and maintains a precise, substantive claim that addresses all aspects of the prompt. Acknowledges limitations and/or the complexity of the issue or topic.
Selection & Citation of Evidence	Includes minimal details from sources. Sources are used without citation.	Includes details, examples, and/or quotations from sources that are relevant to the claim. Inconsistently cites sources.	Includes details, examples, and/or quotations from sources that support the claim and supporting ideas . Consistently cites sources with minor formatting errors.	Includes well-chosen details, examples, and/or quotations from sources that fully support the claim and supporting ideas. Consistently cites sources using appropriate format .
Development / Explanation of Sources	Explanation of ideas and source material is irrelevant, incomplete, or inaccurate.	Explains ideas and source material to support the argument, with some incomplete reasoning or explanations.	Accurately explains ideas and source material and how they support the argument.	Thoroughly and accurately explains ideas and source material, using logical reasoning to support and develop the argument.
Organization	Lacks an evident structure. Makes unclear connections among claims, reasons, and/or evidence.	Groups ideas and uses transitions to develop the argument, with some lapses in coherence or organization.	Groups and sequences ideas to develop a cohesive argument. Uses transitions to clarify the relationships among claim(s), reasons, and evidence.	Groups and sequences ideas in a logical progression in which ideas build to create a unified whole. Uses varied transitions to clarify the precise relationships among claim(s), reasons, and evidence.
Conventions	Major errors in standard English conventions interfere with the clarity of the writing. Language or tone is inappropriate.	Errors in standard English conventions sometimes interfere with the clarity of the writing. Uses language and tone that are sometimes inappropriate for the audience and purpose.	Consistently applies standard English conventions; minor errors, while noticeable, do not interfere with the clarity of the writing. Uses language and tone appropriate to the audience and purpose.	Consistently applies standard English conventions, with few errors. Demonstrates varied syntax and precise word choice. Consistently uses language and tone appropriate to the audience and purpose.
Content Understanding (Generic)	Attempts to include disciplinary content in explanation or argument but understanding of content is weak; content is irrelevant, inappropriate, or inaccurate.	Briefly notes disciplinary content relevant to the prompt; shows basic or uneven understanding of content; minor errors in explanation.	Accurately presents disciplinary content relevant to the prompt with sufficient explanations that demonstrate understanding.	Integrates relevant and accurate disciplinary content with thorough explanations that demonstrate in-depth understanding.

Background for Students

You should have a solid understanding of DNA, protein synthesis, and basic genetic concepts studied before completing this module. This module applies those concepts as you study a current real-world application.

Extension

After completing the module, students will research two additional articles written from different perspectives about the issue of labeling GM foods, which is being discussed by many states at this time. They will engage in a Socratic seminar to discuss the opposing viewpoints of these authors and their credibility.

Section 2: What Skills?

Preparing for the Task

TASK ENGAGEMENT: Ability to connect the task and new content to existing knowledge, skills, experiences, interests, and concerns.

TASK ANALYSIS: Ability to understand and explain the task's prompt.

RUBRIC ANALYSIS: Ability to understand the scoring elements and levels of performance

Reading Process

PRE-READING > **PREVIEWING**: Ability to access prior knowledge as it relates to new concepts.

ACTIVE READING > NOTE-TAKING AND ESSENTIAL VOCABULARY: Ability to select important facts and passages for use in one's own argumentative writing and to identify and master terms essential to understanding a text.

POST-READING > PRIORITIZING EVIDENCE: Ability to determine the strongest evidence that supports the claim.

POST-READING > ACADEMIC INTEGRITY: Ability to use and credit sources appropriately.

Transition to Writing

BRIDGING CONVERSATION > PREPARING FOR WRITING: Ability to begin linking reading results to writing task.

Writing Process

CONTROLLING IDEA: Ability to establish a claim and consolidate information relevant to task.

PLANNING THE WRITING: Ability to develop a line of thought and text structure appropriate to an argumentative task.

DEVELOPMENT: Ability to construct an initial draft with an emerging line of thought and structure. **REVISION AND EDITING**: Ability to proofread your own and another student's writing to determine if all elements of the rubric are met.

COMPLETION: Ability to submit final piece that meets expectations.

Section 3: What Instruction?

PACING	SKILL AND DEFINITION	PRODUCT AND PROMPT	SCORING GUIDE	INSTRUCTIONAL STRATEGIES
Preparir	ng for the Task			
15 mins	TASK ENGAGEMENT: Ability to connect the task and new content to existing knowledge, skills, experiences, interests, and concerns.	 "WHAT DO YOU KNOW?" Answer these Genetically Modified Foods Survey Questions by holding up a green strip for "YES" and a red strip for "NO." If you are "UNSURE," hold up both strips. 1. I have eaten a genetically modified food. 2. Genetically modified foods are mostly grown in Japan and China. 3. Over 85% of all processed foods contain GM foods. 4. GM foods were banned in Europe. 5. A genetically modified food is the sam as selecting for a good trait in food. 	None	 Give each student 1 green and 1 red strip of paper. Ask the questions above to determine what the students know, without engaging in discussion while the activity is in progress. Answers to the questions: I have eaten a genetically modified food. <i>Most of us have consumed a GM food since about 60-80% of all processed foods contain a GM food.</i> Genetically modified foods are mostly grown in Japan and China. <i>Most GM foods are grown in the USA.</i> Over 60% of all processed foods contain GM foods. <i>True; as a matter of fact, it may be closer to 80%</i> GM foods were banned in Europe. <i>True, banned in the 1990s</i> A genetically modified food is the same as selecting for a good trait in a food. <i>False: Foods have been selected for "best traits" since man began cultivating and choosing. GM foods have genetic manipulation; this technology has only existed in the last several decades.</i>
15 mins	Standards: RST.9-10.8 : Assess the solving a scientific or the solving a scientific or the solving	 ANNOTATED TEACHING TASK (A) Annotate the teaching task by 1. drawing 1 line under what you must read and give possible examples of those texts. 2. circling the type of writing product you must create. 3. drawing 2 lines under what the focus of your argument will be. 4. putting a? above any words you do not know. (B) Trade with a partner and try to 	nd evidence in a text s Completion of Teaching Task annotation and collaboration with partner	 TEACHER MODELING before students complete activity: 1) Present a different sample teaching task (on a PowerPoint, SmartBoard, chart paper, or white/chalk board). (2) Model the above activity by drawing 1 line under what you must read and give possible examples of those texts. circling the type of writing product you must create. drawing 2 lines under what the focus of your argument will be. putting a ? above any words you do not know. (3) Ask students to pose questions they might want answers to about this teaching task.

		 Check answers and see if you agree. Be ready to ask questions. 		(4) Then ask students to complete the activity above.
	Standards:			
	CCR.R.2 : Determine ideas. CCR.W.10 : Write rou sitting or a day or two)	central ideas or themes of a text an tinely over extended time frames (f for a range of tasks, purposes, and	nd analyze their devel time for research, refle d audiences.	opment; summarize the key supporting details and ection, and revision) and shorter time frames (a single
	Additional Attachments	s:		
	ANNOTATED_TEA	CHING_TASK.docx		
25 mins	TASK ANALYSIS: Ability to understand and explain the task's prompt.	 EDITORIAL PREVIEW 1. Pair up and read silently the sample editorial. 2. With your partner, draw a straight line around the 	Student completion of article annotation and participation in discussion.	1. Ask students the following questions: What is an editorial? Have you ever read any? Where would you find one? What do you think is the purpose of an editorial?
		author's claim and a squiggly line around the		2. Review the terms claim, counterclaim, and evidence.
		 counterclaim. 3. Look for evidence to support each, drawing a red line under evidence that supports the claim and a blue line under evidence 		3. Tell students to work in pairs to read the editorial, "Gosh Dangit." As they read, have them follow the instructions stated in the prompt to determine the author's claim and counterclaim and evidence to support each.
		that supports the counterclaim.		4. Then ask student pairs to discuss the following questions, written on the board:
		 Discuss with your partner the questions on the board, and be ready to share your answers. 		 What is the opinion or call to action in this editorial? What evidence does it use to make its argument? How persuasive do you find the editorial? Is it effective? What do you notice about the language and tone of the editorial, as well as other choices the writer has made?
				 Circulate around the room, assisting students who need additional help.
				6. Call on students to share their answers.
				NOTE: If students have practice with writing editorials, this mini-task can be eliminated.
				Resource: http://learning.blogs.nytimes.com//2014/02/07/for- the-sake-of-argument-writing-persuasively-to-craft- short-evidence-based-editorials/
	Standards:			

RST.9-10.8 : Assess the extent to which the reasoning and evidence in a text support the author's claim or a recommendation for solving a scientific or technical problem.

RST.9-10.1 : Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts, attending to the precise details of explanations or descriptions.

RST.9-10.2: Determine the central ideas or conclusions of a text; trace the text's explanation or depiction of a complex process, phenomenon, or concept; provide an accurate summary of the text.

	Additional Attachments:				
	Editorial - Gosh Dangit.docx				
20 mins	RUBRIC ANALYSIS: Ability to understand the scoring elements and levels of performance	ANNOTATING THE RUBRIC Read the "Meets Expectations" column on the LDC rubric. Underline the important words which describe what you need to do to reach the "Meets Expectations." Put a ? above any words you do not understand. Compare the "Meets Expectations" column to "Exceeds Expectations" column to determine the additional expectations for the higher level of performance.	Completion of annotation activity.	 Tell students to work in pairs or small groups to complete the activity. Ask a few students to share their answers with the class. Answer any questions students may have about the expectations. 	
	Standards: WHST.9-10.1 : Write arguments focused on discipline-specific content.				
	Additional Attachment	s: s			
Reading	Process				
20 mins	PRE-READING > PREVIEWING: Ability to access prior knowledge as it relates to new concepts.	 VIDEO QUESTIONS 1. Watch the video "What Is a Genetically Modified Food?" Listen carefully. 2. With your elbow partner, answer the 5 questions on the board. 3. Share with another pair to check your answers. 4. Discuss as a whole class. 	Completion of questions and participation in discussion.	 Write these questions on the board to focus students on the important information in the video: 1. What is artificial selection? Is this a new process? 2. What is the meaning of the word TRANSGENIC? 3. In the video, what made the pig glow? 4. Name a few other examples of genetically modified organisms (GMOs). 5. How does the speaker feel about GMOs? 	
	Standards:				
	RST.9-10.1 : Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts, attending to the precise details of explanations or descriptions.				
	RST.9-10.2 : Determine the central ideas or conclusions of a text; trace the text's explanation or depiction of a complex process, phenomenon, or concept; provide an accurate summary of the text.				
	SL.9-10.3 : Evaluate a speaker's point of view, reasoning, and use of evidence and rhetoric, identifying any fallacious reasoning or exaggerated or distorted evidence.				
	Additional Attachment	s:			
	✤ "What Is a Genetic	ally Modified Food?"			

	NOTE-TAKING AND ESSENTIAL VOCABULARY: Ability to select important facts and passages for use in one's own argumentative writing and to identify and master terms essential to understanding a text. Standards: RST.9-10.8 : Assess t solving a scientific or to RST.9-10.5 : Analyze force, friction, reaction RST.9-10.1 : Cite spe explanations or descrip	 TAKING With a partner, read "The Truth About GMOs" . On your graphic organizer, record benefits and disadvantages or safety concerns of genetically modified organisms (Column 1) and evidence to support each (Column 2). In Column 3, record any pertinent information that might help you include reasoning or commentary to develop your argument. At the bottom of the organizer, add any unknown content and academic vocabulary words with the definition, in your own words. Include the necessary bibliographic information (Article title/Author/Publication/Date) before reading and taking notes. he extent to which the reasoning a force, energy). The the central ideas or conclusions apply: provide an accurate summary of cific textual evidence to support anotions. 	the article and completes the graphic organizer appropriately.	 organizer. Discuss these questions (whole group) 1. What is the purpose of taking notes? What are different note-taking strategies you use? 2. Look at the graphic organizer. What are you looking for in the article to complete the graphic organizer correctly? 3. Differentiate between an advantage and a disadvantage. 4. What is evidence and why is it important? 5. What is meant by reasoning or commentary? 6. What is the difference between content and academic vocabulary? 7. What information should you record about your source for APA citation? (Go over this with your students, if necessary) 8. Model the first 7 steps by reading, annotating and thinking aloud with the beginning section (through "The Pros") of the article. 9. Then ask student pairs to emulate Steps 1-7 as they complete the remainder of the article.
	Additional Attachments The Truth About G NOTE-TAKING gra	s: MOs.docx phic organizer.docx		
1 hr	ACTIVE READING > NOTE-TAKING AND ESSENTIAL VOCABULARY: Ability to select important facts and passages for use in one's own argumentative writing and to identify and master terms essential to understanding a text.	NOTE-TAKING - ON YOUR OWN Take notes from the assigned articles, using the graphic organizer. You will need one for each article. Before taking notes, it may be helpful to annotate the articles in the margins by defining unknown words, asking thoughtful questions and using the abbreviations "A" for ADVANTAGE and "D" for DISADVANTAGE/SAFETY CONCERN.	• Student reads articles and completes note- taking graphic organizers appropriately.	 Instruct students to work individually (or in pairs for struggling students) to complete their note- taking strategies. All students should read at least 4 of the texts: "Pros and Cons of Genetically Modified Food" "The Truth About Genetically Modified Food" "The Impact on Human Health of Genetically Modified Organisms in Foods" "Straight Talk on Genetically Engineered Foods" - This is a Question/Answer format to help students locate specific information. "This Salmon Will Likely Be the First Genetically Modified Animal You Eat" "In Midwest, Flutter May Be Far Fewer" - Monarch

Standards: RST.9-10.8 : Assess the extent to which the reasoning and evidence in a text support the author's claim or a recommendation solving a scientific or technical problem. RST.9-10.8 : Analyze the tructure of the relationships among concepts in a text, including relationships among key terms (eq. force, friction, reaction force, energy). RST.9-10.1 : Determine the meaning of symbols, key terms, and other domain-specific words and phrases as they are used in specific scientific or technical context relevant to grades 9—10 texts and topics. RST.9-10.1 : Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts, attending to the precise details or explanations or descriptions. Additional Attachments: NOTE-TAKING graphic organizer.doox Prose and Cons of GM FoodSa.docx B ImpactOnHumanHealthOfGeneticallyModIfiedOrganismsInFoods.pdf 9: "Straight Talk on Genetically Engineered Food" 1 Truth about GM FoodSa.docx MAP 1. Using your notes and and reganizer. and reganizer to support your optical mand texts, complete in the CLINHTRP (LIMENT MAP) graphic organizer. and reganizer to support your optical mand texts, complete in the topic. If the evidence in support your optical your original claim and reganizer. and reasoning and the topic. If the evidence in support analysis of science and technical texts, attending to the precise details or explanations or descriptions. Standards: Rist -910.1 : Cite				Butterfly		
RST.9-10.3 : Assess the extent to which the reasoning and evidence in a text support the author's claim or a recommendation solving a scientific or technical problem. RST.9-10.3 : Analyze the tructure of the relationships among concepts in a text, including relationships among key terms (e.g. force, friction, reaction force, energy). RST.9-10.3 : Determine the meaning of symbols, key terms, and other domain-specific words and phrases as they are used in specific accordination or conclusions of a text; trace the text's explanation or depiction of a complex procest phenomenon, or concept provide an accurate summary of the text. RST.9-10.1 : Che specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts, attending to the precise details or explanations or descriptions. Additional Attachments: NOTE-TAKING graphic organizer.docx Price and Cons of IGM Foods.docx Nonaert Butterfly, docx Salmon .docx ImpactOntiumantHealthOfGeneticallyModifiedOrganismsInFoods.pdf Complexion of CER Additional Attachments: POST-READING > PRIORTIZING PRIORTIZING PRIORTIZING CER OPINION/ARGUMENT MAP indice and texts, complete the Standard texts, complete texts, attending to the precise details or exploration	Standards:					
R57.9-10.3: Analyze the structure of the relationships among concepts in a text, including relationships among key terms (e.g. force, friction, reaction force, energy). R57.9-10.4: Determine the meaning of symbols, key terms, and other domain-specific words and phrases as they are used in specific scientific or technical context relevant to grades 9–-10 texts and topics. R57.9-10.1: Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts, attending to the precise details of explanations or descriptions. Additional Attachments: NOTE-TAKING graphic organizer.docx Pros and Cons of GM Foods.docx Monarch Butterfly.docx Salmon.docz Post-retaDINC> POST-READINC> CER OPINION/ARGUMENT Molecking to the creation of the context of the context of the context of the science and technical texts, attending to the precise details of escience and technical texts, attending to the recise details of anotated texts, complete POST-READINC> CER OPINION/ARGUMENT MADIGETARDINE Completion of CER Additional Attachments: 1. Using your notes and anotated texts, complete organizer. 1. Using your notes and anotated texts, complete organizer to prioritize your strongest evidence to support your conjinal claim and counterclaim support. 1. You may use sticky notes for organizer. 2. Now that you have researched the topic. (The evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts, attending t	RST.9-10.8 : Assess the extent to which the reasoning and evidence in a text support the author's claim or a recommensolving a scientific or technical problem.					
R5T.9-10.4: Determine the meaning of symbols, key terms, and other domain-specific words and phrases as they are used if specific scientific or technical context relevant to grades 9–10 texts and topics. R5T.9-10.2: Determine the central ideas or conclusions of a text; trace the texts explanation or depiction of a complex procet phenomenon, or concept; provide an accurate summary of the text. RST.9-10.1: Citle specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts, attending to the precise details or explanations or descriptions. Additional Attachments: NOTE-TAKING graphic organizer.docx Introact Cons of GM Foods.docx ImpactOnHumanHealthOfGeneticallyModifiedOrganismsInFoods.pdf Straight Taik on Genetically Engineered Food" Introact texts, complete the CER ARGUMENT MAP No Tertapint relations the context roles and anotacid texts, complete the CER ARGUMENT MAP 1. Joing your notes and anotacid texts, complete the CER ARGUMENT MAP 1. Joing your notes and anotacid texts, complete the CER ARGUMENT MAP 1. Voi may use siticly notes for witing your roles and anotacid texts, complete the conterclaim. 2. You may use siticly notes for witing your original claim and counterclaim. 2. Alter gathering their evidence for both side issue, they may simply switch the weight corganizer. 3. Now that you have researched the topic, if the evidence nor weight or ganizer. 3. Now that you have reseasched the topic, if the evidence nor texplores.	RST.9-10.5 : Analyze force, friction, reaction	the structure of the relationships at force, energy).	mong concepts in a te	xt, including relationships among key terms (e.g.,		
FST.9-10.2 : Determine the central ideas or conclusions of a text, trace the text's explanation or depiction of a complex procest phenomenon, or concept; provide an accurate summary of the text. RST.9-10.1 : Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts, attending to the precise details of explanations or descriptions. Additional Attachments: INOTE-TAKING graphic organizer.docx Pros and Cons of GM Foods.docx ImpactOnHumanHealthOfGeneticallyModifiedOrganismsInFoods.pdf % "Straight Talk on GeneticallyEngineered Food" ImpactOns the claim. coldermine the strongest evidence in anotace to support analysis of science and technical texts, attending to the precise details or original claim and counterclaim. 2. You may use sticky notes for writing your evidence for writing your evidence in a text support the case. they may stimply switch the workednee and reasoning and them place them on the CER graphic organizer. 9. Now that y	RST.9-10.4 : Determin specific scientific or te	ne the meaning of symbols, key ter chnical context relevant to grades §	ms, and other domain 9—10 texts and topics.	-specific words and phrases as they are used in a		
RST.9-10.1 : Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts, attending to the precise details of explanations or descriptions. Additional Attachments: NOTE-TAKING graphic organizer.docx Pros and Cons of GM Foods.docx Monarch Butterfly.docx Balmon.docx ImpactOnHumanHealthOfGeneticallyModifiedOrganismsInFoods.pdf * "Straight Talk on Genetically Engineered Food" Intraction Truth about GM Food-SCI AM.docx POST-READING > POST-READING > POST-READING > POST-READING > CER OPINION/ARGUMENT MAP 1. Using your notes and anotated texts, complete that supports the claim. claim. Completion of CER morganizer. 2. You may use sticky notes for writing your evidence and reasoning and then place them on the CER graphic organizer. 2. Now that you have researched the topic, if the evidence now supports the claim may now become your counterclaim and vice versa. Standards: RST.9-10.1: Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts, attending to the precise details of explanations or descriptions. RST.9-10.1: Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and textual evidence in a text support the author's claim or a recommendation solving a scientific or technical problem.	RST.9-10.2 : Determine phenomenon, or conc	ne the central ideas or conclusions ept; provide an accurate summary o	of a text; trace the tex of the text.	t's explanation or depiction of a complex process,		
Additional Attachments: NOTE-TAKING graphic organizer.docx Prose and Cons of GM Foods.docx Monarch Butterfly.docx Salmon .docx ImpactOnHumanHealthOfGeneticallyModifiedOrganismsInFoods.pdf S'Straight Talk on Genetically Engineered Food" Truth about GM Food-SCI AM.docx Completion of CER PRIORITIZING EVIDENCE: Ability to determine the strongest evidence that supports the claim. CER OPINION/ARGUMENT MAP Completion of CER annotated texts, complete that supports the claim. 1. Tell students to review their notes taken fir articles they read. Decide what evidence to strongest evidence to support your original claim and counterclaim 1. Tell students to review their notes taken fir articles they read. Decide what evidence to and their COUNTERCLAIM on the other sit that supports.the claim. 1. Voir graphic organizer. 2. You may use stoky notes for writing your evidence and reasoning and then place them on the CER graphic organizer. 2. You may use stoky notes for writing your original claim may now become your counterclaim and vice versa. Standards: RST.9-10.1: Cite specific textual evidence to support shall exclamations or descriptions. RST.9-10.3: Assess the extent to which the reasoning and evidence in a text support the author's claim or a recommendation solving a scientific or technical problem.	RST.9-10.1 : Cite spe explanations or descri	cific textual evidence to support an ptions.	alysis of science and t	technical texts, attending to the precise details of		
 INOTE-TAKING graphic organizer.docx Pros and Cons of GM Foods.docx Monarch Butterfly.docx Salmon.docx Impact OnHumanHeatthO/GeneticallyModifiedOrganismsInFoods.pdf S' Straight Taik on Genetically Engineered Food" Truth about GM Food-SCI AM.docx 705T-READING > CER OPINION/ARGUMENT MAP graphic organizer to prioritize your strongest outnerclaim supports the claim. 8 Uroperties that supports the claim. 9 With claim and counterclaim. 9. You may use sticky notes for writing your rotiginal claim and counterclaim. 9. You may use sticky notes for writing your evidence in the supports the opposite view, your original claim may now become your counterclaim. 9. Now that you have researched the topic, if the opposite view, your original claim may now become your counterclaim and vice versa. Standards: RST.9-10.1: Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts, attending to the precise details or solving a scientific or technical problem.	Additional Attachment	s:				
 Pros and Cons of GM Foods.docx Monarch Butterliy.docx Salmon.docx ImpactOnHumanHealthOfGeneticallyModifiedOrganismsInFoods.pdf "Straight Talk on Genetically Engineered Food" Truth about GM Food-SCI AM.docx Inservice of the CER OPINION/ARGUMENT MAP graphic organizer to prioritize your strongest evidence that supports the claim. Vistraight Claim and counterclaim. You may use sticky notes for writing your evidence on the opposite view, your original claim and negative evidence and reasoning and then place them on the CER graphic organizer. Now that you have researched the toCER graphic researched the toCER strongenizer. Now that you have researched the topps of the opposite view, your original claim may now become your counterclaim and vice versa. Standards: RST-9-10.1: Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts, attending to the precise details or solving and evidence in a text support the author's claim or a recommendation solving a scientific or technical problem.	NOTE-TAKING gra	phic organizer.docx				
 Monarch Butterfly.docx Salmon.docx ImpactOnHumanHealthOfGeneticallyModifiedOrganismsInFoods.pdf "Straight Talk on Genetically Engineered Food" Truth about GM Food-SCI AM.docx CER OPINION/ARGUMENT MAP COmpletion of CER PRIORIZING VOIDENCE: Ability to determine the strongest evidence that supports the claim. Using your notes and annotated texts, complete the CER ARGUMENT MAP graphic organizer to prioritize your strongest evidence to support your original claim and counterclaim. You may use sticky notes for writing your evidence and reasoning and then place them on the CER graphic organizer. Now that you have researched the topic, if the evidence now supports the opposite view, your orginal claim and vice versa. Standards: RST-9-10.1: Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts, attending to the precise details of explanations or descriptions. RST-9-10.3: Assess the extent to which the reasoning and evidence in a text support the author's claim or a recommendation solving a scientific or technical problem. 	Pros and Cons of	GM Foods.docx				
 Salmon.docx ImpactOnHumanHealthOfGeneticallyModifiedOrganismsInFoods.pdf "Straight Talk on Genetically Engineered Food" Truth about GM Food-SCI AM.docx POST-READING - PRIORITIZING Vising your notes and annotated texts, complete the CER ARGUMENT MAP graphic organizer to prioritize your strongest evidence to support your original claim and counterclaim. You may use sticky notes for writing your evidence and reasoning and then place them on the CER graphic organizer. Now that you have researched the topic, if the evidence now supports the opposite view, your original claim may now become your counterclaim and vice versa. Standards: RET.9-10.1: Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and text, attending to the precise details of explanations or descriptions. 	Monarch Butterfly.	.docx				
 Impact OnHumanHealthOrGenetically Modified Organismishih cods.pdf Straight Talk on Genetically Engineered Food" Truth about GM Food-SCI AM.docx POST-READING > PRIORITIZING CER OPINION/ARGUMENT MAP Using your notes and annotated texts, complete the CER ARGUMENT MAP graphic organizer to prioritize your strongest evidence to support your original claim and counterclaim. You may use sticky notes for writing your evidence and reasoning and then place them on the CER graphic organizer. Now that you have researched the topic, if the evidence now supports the oddam and vice versa. Standards: RST-9-10.1: Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts, attending to the precise details of explanations or descriptions. RST-9-10.1: Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts, attending to the precise details of explanations or descriptions. RST-9-10.1: Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts, attending to the precise details of explanations or descriptions. 	Salmon .docx					
 Stranger has on deficiency engineered rood Truth about GM Food-SCI AM.docx POST-READING > PRIORITIZING EVIDENCE: Ability to determine the strongest evidence that supports the claim. CER OPINION/ARGUMENT MAP Using your notes and annotated texts, complete the CER ARGUMENT MAP graphic organizer to prioritize your strongest evidence to support your original claim and counterclaim. You may use sticky notes for writing your evidence and reasoning and then place them on the CER graphic organizer. Now that you have researched the topic, if the evidence now supports the opposite view, your original claim may now become your counterclaim and vice versa. Standards: RST.9-10.1: Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts, attending to the precise details or explanations or descriptions. RST.9-10.8: Assess the extent to which the reasoning and evidence in a text support the author's claim or a recommendation solving a scientific or technical problem. 		ealthOfGeneticallyModifiedOrga	nismsinFoods.pdf			
Ims POST-READING > PRIORITIZING EVIDENCE: Ability to determine the strongest evidence that supports the claim. 1. Using your notes and annotated texts, complete the CER ARGUMENT MAP graphic organizer to prioritize your strongest evidence to support your original claim and counterclaim. Completion of CER ARGUMENT MAP with claim and counterclaim support. 1. Tell students to review their notes taken fr arcices they read. Decide what evidence a reasoning best support their CLAIM on the other si the graphic organizer. 2. After gathering their evidence to support your original claim and counterclaim. Completion of CER ARGUMENT MAP graphic organizer. 1. Tell students to review their notes taken fr each evidence to support your original claim and counterclaim. 2. You may use sticky notes for writing your evidence and reasoning and then place them on the CER graphic organizer. Completion of CER ARGUMENT MAP with claim and counterclaim. 1. Tell students to review their notes taken fr essupport. Standards: RST.9-10.1 : Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts, attending to the precise details of explanations or descriptions. RST.9-10.3 : Assess the extent to which the reasoning and evidence in a text support the author's claim or a recommendation solving a scientific or technical problem.	Truth about GM Fc	pod-SCI AM.docx				
PRIORITIZING MAP ARGUMENT MAP EVIDENCE: Ability to determine the strongest evidence that supports the claim. 1. Using your notes and annotated texts, complete the CER ARGUMENT MAP ARGUMENT MAP with claim and counterclaim articles they read. Decide what evidence = reasoning best support their CLAIM on on and their COUNTERCLAIM on the other si the graphic organizer. graphic organizer to prioritize your strongest evidence to support your original claim and counterclaim. ARGUMENT MAP graphic organizer. Arter gathering their evidence for both side issue, they may decide to change their pool In that case, they may decide to change their pool In that case, they may decide to change their pool In that case, they may simply switch the w (claim and counterclaim) on each side of the paper. You may use sticky notes for writing your original claim may now become your counterclaim and vice versa. Now that you have researched the topic, if the evidence now supports the opposite view, your original claim may now become your counterclaim and vice versa. Standards: RST.9-10.1 : Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts, attending to the precise details of explanations or descriptions. RST.9-10.8 : Assess the extent to which the reasoning and evidence in a text support the author's claim or a recommendation solving a scientific or technical problem.	ns POST-READING >	CER OPINION/ARGUMENT	Completion of CER	1. Tell students to review their notes taken from the		
Standards: RST.9-10.1 : Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts, attending to the precise details of explanations or descriptions. RST.9-10.8 : Assess the extent to which the reasoning and evidence in a text support the author's claim or a recommendation solving a scientific or technical problem.	PRIORITIZING EVIDENCE: Ability to determine the strongest evidence that supports the claim.	 MAP Using your notes and annotated texts, complete the CER ARGUMENT MAP graphic organizer to prioritize your strongest evidence to support your original claim and counterclaim. You may use sticky notes for writing your evidence and reasoning and then place them on the CER graphic organizer. Now that you have researched the topic, if the evidence now supports the opposite view, your original claim may now become your counterclaim and vice versa. 	ARGUMENT MAP with claim and counterclaim support.	 articles they read. Decide what evidence and reasoning best support their CLAIM on one side and their COUNTERCLAIM on the other side of the graphic organizer. 2. After gathering their evidence for both sides of the issue, they may decide to change their position. In that case, they may simply switch the words (claim and counterclaim) on each side of the paper. 		
explanations or descriptions. RST.9-10.8 : Assess the extent to which the reasoning and evidence in a text support the author's claim or a recommendation solving a scientific or technical problem.	Standards:	ndards:				
RST.9-10.8 : Assess the extent to which the reasoning and evidence in a text support the author's claim or a recommendation solving a scientific or technical problem.	explanations or descri	ptions.	arysis or science and t	common texts, attending to the precise details of		
	RST.9-10.8 : Assess solving a scientific or t	the extent to which the reasoning a echnical problem.	nd evidence in a text s	support the author's claim or a recommendation for		
Additional Attachments:	Additional Attachment	s:				

25 mins	POST-READING > ACADEMIC INTEGRITY: Ability to use and credit sources appropriately.	 CITING APA SOURCES/AVOIDING PLAGIARISM 1. Turn to your partner. Brainstorm what constitutes plagiarism and suggest ways to avoid it. Participate in the class discussion afterwards. 2. Read the handout, "Academic Integrity/Using Proper APA Citation" as a guide. (Remember to follow these instructions when citing your sources as you take notes and write your editorial.) 3. Practice citing at least 1 of your sources by writing 4 sentences, using each of the 4 types of in-text citations, as shown on the handout. 4. If necessary, when writing your editorial,you may use online resources (Easybib.com, Citationmachine.net, etc.) to help you create proper citations of sources for your reference page. 5. Read the Academic Integrity statement and sign it. 	Students have correctly cited 1 source, using the 4 in-text citation rules.	 With a partner, students should define plagiarism and list ways to avoid it. Instruct students to practice creating citations properly, using the APA handout. Give students access to the Online Writing Lab (OWL) at Purdue University to further assist them with creating citations. <i>Optional</i> - students can use an online citation generator (e.g. EasyBib, Citationmachine.net etc.) Discuss academic penalties for plagiarism. Ask students to read the Academic Integrity statement and sign it. Note: The assumption is that students have had some experience creating APA citations, so this should be a review. Give extra help as needed.
	Standards:			

CCR.R.1 : Read closely to determine what the text says explicitly and to make logical inferences from it; cite specific textual evidence when writing or speaking to support conclusions drawn from the text.

CCR.W.8: Gather relevant information from multiple print and digital sources, assess the credibility and accuracy of each source, and integrate the information while avoiding plagiarism.

Additional Attachments:

- **%** Research and Citation Resources
- Academic Integrity statement .docx
- Academic Integrity-APA Citations.docx

Transition to Writing

20 mins	BRIDGING CONVERSATION > PREPARING FOR WRITING: Ability to	FOUR CORNERS1. Read the statement on the board.2. At the top of a post-a-note,	Student participation in writing their position and	 Label the four corners of your classroom with these phrases: Strongly Agree, Agree, Disagree, Strongly Disagree. Write this statement on the board: Genetically
	begin linking reading	write whether you Strongly	discussing with	modified organisms are the answer to many of
	results to writing	Agree, Agree, Disagree, or	others.	the world's problems.
	task.	Strongly Disagree.		3. Give students a post-a-note and instruct them to
		3. Write a 1-2 sentence		think about what they have read and write their
		justification of your opinion.		opinion at the top: Strongly Agree, Agree,
		4. Go to the corner of the room		Disagree, Strongly Disagree. Tell them not

with the same label as your opinion. Note: Do not discuss your opinion until directed to do so.	 consult with other students! 4. Then tell them to write a 1-2 sentence justification of their opinion. 5. After a few minutes have passed, say "Go to your corner." Students should move to the labeled corner of agreement, based on their response. 6. Students should discuss their positions and reasoning with the others in their group. Choose one spokesperson to share with the class. 7. After the discussion, allow students to change corners if their opinion has changed. 8. This activity allows ALL students to discuss their own opinions, based on evidence, in a small group and listen to others. Each student should have a voice in the discussion.

Standards:

SL.9-10.4: Present information, findings, and supporting evidence clearly, concisely, and logically such that listeners can follow the line of reasoning and the organization, development, substance, and style are appropriate to purpose, audience, and task.

SL.9-10.1.B : Work with peers to set rules for collegial discussions and decision-making (e.g., informal consensus, taking votes on key issues, presentation of alternate views), clear goals and deadlines, and individual roles as needed.

SL.9-10.1.A : Come to discussions prepared, having read and researched material under study; explicitly draw on that preparation by referring to evidence from texts and other research on the topic or issue to stimulate a thoughtful, well-reasoned exchange of ideas.

SL.9-10.1: Initiate and participate effectively in a range of collaborative discussions (one-on-one, in groups, and teacher-led) with diverse partners on grades 9—10 topics, texts, and issues, building on others' ideas and expressing their own clearly and persuasively.

RST.9-10.8 : Assess the extent to which the reasoning and evidence in a text support the author's claim or a recommendation for solving a scientific or technical problem.

RST.9-10.2 : Determine the central ideas or conclusions of a text; trace the text's explanation or depiction of a complex process, phenomenon, or concept; provide an accurate summary of the text.

Writing Process

	IDEA: Ability to establish a claim and consolidate information relevant to task.	 Read the "How Do You Write an Editorial?" handout to understand how to format an editorial. With your partner, read the first 2 paragraphs of the example editorial on cigarette smoking. Discuss what the author accomplished in the first paragraph. Why is it called a "lead?" Then identify the author's position, stated in the 2nd paragraph. Now it's your turn! Review the question and sticky notes from your Claims, Evidence, and Reasoning graphic organizer. Think about the conversation you heard during the Four Corners 	 expectations if he/she does the following: Writes an appropriate first paragraph to lead the reader into the editorial. Provides direct answer to main prompt requirements with a claim. 	 Crive students a copy of the Editorial Structure and Example handout. Ask class to read the instructions and discuss how the editorial example illustrates the correct structure. Instruct the students to write the 2nd paragraph of their editorial first to state their position, followed by the "lead" 1st paragraph to complete their controlling idea. The teacher should circulate among students to assist as needed.
--	--	---	--	---

		 Activity. 2. On your Editorial Outline handout, write the second paragraph that states your position about whether the benefits of genetically modified organisms outweigh the possible safety concerns. 3. Then write the first paragraph that "leads" the reader into your position. These two paragraphs will complete your controlling idea. 		
	Standards: WHST.9-10.1 : Write a	arguments focused on discipline-sp	pecific content.	
	Additional Attachments	s: and Example.docx NE.docx		
50 mins	PLANNING THE WRITING: Ability to develop a line of thought and text structure appropriate to an argumentative task.	 EDITORIAL WRITING PLAN 1. Using the information on your CER graphic organizer and the "How Do You Write an Editorial?" handout as a guide, continue completing the Editorial Outline, including a conclusion. 2. Note: For the evidence and reasoning, you should transfer the sticky notes from your CER graphic organizer to the Editorial Outline. 	Completion of Editorial outline, including the lead paragraph, position, counterclaim, evidence and reasoning to support claim, and conclusion.	 Instruct students to use the information from the CER graphic organizer and the Introduction activity to complete the Editorial Outline. Tell them to transfer the sticky notes with evidence and reasoning from the CER graphic organizer to the Editorial Outline to prevent having to write this information again.
	Standards: RST.9-10.8 : Assess the solving a scientific or the WHST.9-10.1 : Write a	the extent to which the reasoning a echnical problem. arguments focused on discipline-sp	nd evidence in a text s pecific content.	support the author's claim or a recommendation for
	Additional Attachments	s: and Example.docx NE.docx		
40 mins	DEVELOPMENT: Ability to construct an initial draft with an emerging line of thought and structure.	EDITORIAL DRAFT Use your Editorial Outline to write the initial draft of your editorial. Remember to refer to the Teaching Task and address all parts of the prompt.	Completion of draft	Teacher should conference with students as needed to provide additional help in writing.

	Standards: WHST.9-10.1 : Write arguments focused on discipline-specific content.			
25 mins	REVISION AND EDITING: Ability to proofread your own and another student's writing to determine if all elements of the rubric are met.	PEER EDITING Trade editorials with your elbow partner and follow the instructions on the Peer Editing Sheet.	Completion of Peer Edit Checklist and highlighting.	Explain what is meant by peer editing and review the Peer Editing Sheet with students. Allow time for students to ask questions.
	Standards: WHST.9-10.1 : Write	arguments focused on discipline-sp	pecific content.	
	Additional Attachment	s: out		
25 mins	REVISION AND EDITING : Ability to proofread your own and another student's writing to determine if all elements of the rubric are met.	 REVISION AND EDITING 1. Consider your peer's suggestions for improving your editorial's structure or wording, and revise as needed. 2. Be sure to include appropriate transitions. 3. Also revise your draft to have sound spelling, capitalization, punctuation and grammar. 	Student meets expectations if he/she does the following: • Provides complete draft with all parts.	 Provide useful feedback that balances support for strengths and clarity about weaknesses.
25 mins	COMPLETION: Ability to submit final piece that meets expectations.	COMPLETION Turn in your final version of your editorial.	Student meets expectations if he/she does the following: • Fits the "Meets Expectations" category in the rubric for the teaching task.	Circulate around the room, providing guidance as needed.

Instructional Resources

Student Handout

- Editorial Gosh Dangit
- ANNOTATED_TEACHING_TASK.docx
- **Rubric_for_analysis-Argument.docx**
- NOTE-TAKING graphic organizer.docx

- The Truth About GMOs.docx
- **%** Straight Talk about GMOs
- Pros and Cons of GM Foods.docx
- Truth about GM Food-SCI AM.docx
- Salmon .docx
- Monarch Butterfly.docx
- ImpactOnHumanHealthOfGeneticallyModifiedOrganismsInFoods.pdf
- Academic Integrity-APA Citations.docx
- EDITORIAL OUTLINE.docx
- ER argument map GMO.doc
- Editorial Structure and Example.docx

Section 4: What Results?

Student Work Samples

No resources specified

Teacher Reflection

Not provided

All Attachments

Straight Talk on Genetically Engineered Foods - Center for Science in the Public Interest : https://s.ldc.org/u/5q7j6np8o6lj4nbk4edhn328l

- The Truth About GMOs.docx : https://s.ldc.org/u/bwu6cnnfrnyap1e5a12fez6gq
- Pros and Cons of GM Foods.docx : https://s.ldc.org/u/dquc5ywmmutkf1cu51qfdioee
- Truth about GM Food-SCI AM.docx : https://s.ldc.org/u/4f85xe9hd93pvig8womh2f9si
- Salmon Will Be the 1st GM : https://s.ldc.org/u/7d6gdr4sry10xic3big6s5ski
- Monarch Butterfly.docx : https://s.ldc.org/u/6mwzuz58wrjyqczopaf3rg6sx
- https://s.ldc.org/u/7e8urzvambs5lxy9m4drrwa5c
- No-Should Genetically Modified Foods Be Labeled.docx :
- https://s.ldc.org/u/5g4x1m35htqz9vq2zcyu4npgr
- Yes-Should Genetically Modified Foods Be Labeled.docx :
- https://s.ldc.org/u/1p9uc3wwy7jm7qyp7ku7lf36z
- Editorial Gosh Dangit : https://s.ldc.org/u/365qbp6zr66xgenb5ok2ja4db
- **ANNOTATED_TEACHING_TASK.docx** : https://s.ldc.org/u/8p1hcdvh6sbm8mk6kpbz74m92
- Rubric_for_analysis-Argument.docx : https://s.ldc.org/u/blkuy16kxye3v5hlh3c5v5cff
- NOTE-TAKING graphic organizer.docx : https://s.ldc.org/u/1gben5hzgoh2fbyw81u7dbqmr
- The Truth About GMOs.docx : https://s.ldc.org/u/a64d26llnoloyzoerfec1gqxa
- Straight Talk about GMOs : https://s.ldc.org/u/5q7j6np8o6lj4nbk4edhn328l
- Pros and Cons of GM Foods.docx : https://s.ldc.org/u/6zyj0unxl37n4zpp56ewyom2l
- Truth about GM Food-SCI AM.docx : https://s.ldc.org/u/99qvik345xv20rwuabyfmki7i
- Salmon .docx : https://s.ldc.org/u/76b2aefd7m7ztfa2c7pljgf8j
- Monarch Butterfly.docx : https://s.ldc.org/u/evh9u9xq3q18h8cixzlg30tcy
- ImpactOnHumanHealthOfGeneticallyModifiedOrganismsInFoods.pdf : https://s.ldc.org/u/ea17fzhvp21wb4vyg1md8svur
- Academic Integrity-APA Citations.docx : https://s.ldc.org/u/ch7dwqzepdcj4xs2hrs3pvwd1
- EDITORIAL OUTLINE.docx : https://s.ldc.org/u/6nvg2i9a6cugnyw7iumx4c9gl
- CER argument map GMO.doc : https://s.ldc.org/u/5q6o5pcjft32rgsdz45tlgxts
- Editorial Structure and Example.docx : https://s.ldc.org/u/2bqbx1lei2dqlnvlqud3l29ad